

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/684,054 8295/DSM/BCVD/JW 10/10/2003 Mark A. Fodor 5247 12/09/2004 **EXAMINER** 44182 MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP FUQUA, SHAWNTINA T APPLIED MATERIALS INC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 595 SHREWSBURY AVE SUITE 100 3742 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/684,05	4	FODOR ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Shawntina	T. Fuqua	3742	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no eve b. a reply within the statu ariod will apply and will tatute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 October 2003.				
2a) <u></u>	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e
Attachma	-			•	
Attachmer 1) Notice	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date	3/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 2A, 2B, detail A,

Species B: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 3A, and 3B,

Species C: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 4A, and 4B,

Species D: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 5A, and 5B,

Species E: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 6A, and 6B,

Species F: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 7A, and 7B,

Species G: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 8A, 9A, and 9B,

Species H: Heating assembly as depicted in Figures 9C, and 9D.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Keith Taboada on 11/7/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawntina T. Fuqua whose telephone number is (571) 272-4779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

stf

December 1, 2004

Shawntina Fuqua Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3742